District Case Study Evaluation Design

Bill Clune

AERA

April, 2005

Case studies of four districts

- SCALE impact on district policy (Tier 1)
- District policy impact on teachers & schools (Tier 2)
- Qualitative data
- Cross-site analysis

90

SCALE theory of action

- Multiple points of access to district policy & practice
- Create multi-dimensional change in instructional guidance
- Which produces improved instruction and instructional support in schools

Tier 1 Activities: Co-construction of instructional guidance

Multiple levels of system	Co-construction activities	
Top leadership	Strategic planning	
Department heads	Formative feedback Curriculum design Professional development Co-piloting	
Local superintendents		
Principals		
Coaches		
Teachers		

Mar.

Tier1 Outcomes: Multi-dimensional improvements in instructional guidance

- Quality, consistency in 5 dimensions of instructional guidance
- Improved leverage on instruction
 - Integration of T&L departments with school management
 - □ Nested learning communities (IFL)
- Redirection of resources
 - □ Capture of loosely coupled resources
 - Reduction of guidance overload on schools and teachers

.

The Problems of Causal Attribution

- Co-construction of district policies
- Policies often pre-date SCALE
- Effects of co-construction are diffuse
- Instructional guidance has diffuse effects
- All effects mediated by district/ school context

Two-Level Evaluation Strategy

	Top-down (initiative out)	Bottom-up (effects back)
Tier 1 (district)	Track co- construction of specific initiatives	Examine all instructional guidance for SCALE-like traits
Tier 2 (schools & teachers)	Track impact of specific initiatives on schools and teachers	Examine instructional practice for SCALE-like traits

Tier 1 Data

- Interviews
- Observation of meetings
- District documents;
- internal data on SCALE activities



Tier 1 Interviews

- Semi-structured, about district instructional guidance:
 - context and history
 - □ goals and strategies
 - procedures and actions taken or to be taken
 - □ role of individuals
 - □ factors that have inhibited or supported progress
 - estimated current and future effects

Common Outline for District Reports

I. District Context

- Demographics, student assessments
- Central office administration
- History of relationship with IFL and SCALE

II. Description of major intended changes in math/science

instructional guidance

- Guidance, goals, history
- Staff, teams, leadership
- Implementation, obstacles

III. Analysis: Effects of SCALE and effectiveness of instructional guidance

SCALE influences and effects